



CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS AND LARVICIDAL ACTIVITY OF VOLATILE OIL FROM Solenostemon monostachyus (P Beauv.) Briq. AGAINST Anopheles gambiae

ATIKO, R.*1, KWAJI, A.1 and MUSA, A. A.1

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Gombe State University, Gombe. Nigeria.

*Corresponding Author E-mail: r_atiko@yahoo.co.uk

ABSTRACT

Synthetic larvicides have been used to control malarial vector. However, increasing resistance of mosquito larvae to the synthetic larvicides poses great problem in the vector control. Natural control with plant essential oil may serve as an alternative. This study investigates the chemical constituents and larvicidal activity of volatile oil obtained from *Solenostemon monostachyus* against the larvae of *Anopheles gambiae*. The volatile oils were extracted using hydro-distillation in a Clevenger type apparatus and analyzed using GC-MS. The larvicidal assay was carried out against *Anopheles gambiae* larvae at concentration range of 12.5- 400 µg/mL. The analysis of the volatile oil showed that the major constituents of the oil were Caryophyllene oxide (21.6%), β -Caryophyllene (19.6%), β -Pinene (9.8%) and Germacrene D (7.3%). Results showed that larval mortality increases with increasing exposure period to the volatile oils from *S. monostachyus*. The larvicidal activity demonstrated that the mosquito larvae were susceptible to the volatile oil with LC₅₀ of 23.44 µg/mL. The results suggest that *S. monostachyus* essential oil has potentials for the control of *Anopheles gambiae*. Therefore the plant may serve as a potential source of raw material for a new and eco-friendly larvicide.

Keywords: Anopheles gambiae, larvae, mortality, S. monostachyus, Volatile oil

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is a deadly parasitic disease caused by *Plasmodium* parasite, and is mainly through the bites spread of adult female Anopheles mosquitoes. It is one of the most significant public health problems in the world (WHO, 2021). In 2020, there were an estimated 241 million cases of malaria worldwide and sub-Saharan Africa alone was responsible for 95% of malaria cases and 96% of malaria deaths. Nigeria accounted for the highest proportion (31.9%) of deaths worldwide (World Malaria Report, 2021). Two strategies were used to reduce the global burden of malarial infection which include the use of preventive antimalarial drugs and effective vector control via mass insecticide treated nets (ITN) distribution campaign and pocket indoor residual sprays (Feng et al., 2022)

Effective malaria treatment and prevention of infection using antimalarial preventive drugs (primaquine and pyrimethamine) had been employed for decades for management of the disease in malarial endemic areas. However, the development of resistance to drugs by the malarial parasites poses one of the greatest threats to malaria control and results in increased malaria morbidity and mortality. Resistance to currently available antimalarial drugs such as chloroquine, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (Roux et al., 2021) and artemisinin (Asley et al., 2014) has been confirmed in two of human malaria parasite species Plasmodium falciparum and P. vivax. This drug resistance compelled scientists to opt for vector control methods.

Vector elimination strategy is a vital component of malaria control as it is highly effective in preventing infection and reducing disease transmission. Many



synthetic insecticides are cytotoxic to other non-target organisms including human beings. This has necessitated researchers to develop other methods of controlling malaria transmission (N'Guessan et al., 2006; Atiko et al., 2016). In general, control strategies against malaria that are geared towards eradicating the mosquito larvae seems to be the most effective alternative (N'Guuessan et al., 2007). This is because it is easier and more efficient to control the delicate larvae that are relatively immobile and more concentrated in their aquatic breeding sites than the adult mosquitoes that are mobile (Intirach et al., 2012). Some of the conventional larvicides in use worldwide includes synthetic organochlorines, organophosphates, carbamates, and pyrethroids.

Unfortunately, there has been increasing reports of resistance of larval populations of malarial vectors to one or more commonly used synthetic larvicides and its toxicity to other non-target organisms including human beings (Conti et al., 2012). One of the most promising ways of minimizing pesticides resistance and reducing its negative impact on the environment is by employing the use of biopesticides (Intirach et al., 2012). Phytochemicals are highly biodegradable, environmentally friendly, less toxic to nontarget organisms and have lower side effects compared to synthetic pesticides. Essential oils from plant sources have been reported by many researchers as alternative to synthetic larvicides. insect repellent. ovipositor attractant and growth regulators (Khan et al., 2020).

S. monostachyus (P Beauv.) Briq. (Hausa name: "tumukun biri") is an aromatic plant which belongs to Lamiacae family. The Lamiaceae had been used traditionally to repel mosquitoes in many culture worldwide (Atiko *et al.*, 2016; Conti *et al.*, 2012;

Asadollahi *et al.*, 2019). Chukwura and Iheukwumere (2013) evaluated the larvicidal activity of *S. monostachyus* leaf extract and reported LC_{50} for the acetone and water extracts to be 28 and 200 ppm respectively. There is dearth of information on the larvicidal activity of the volatile oil of plants. This study reports the chemical constituents and larvicidal activity of *S. monostachyus* aerial parts essential oil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Collection and Extraction of Essential Oil

The aerial parts of S. monostachyus were collected in May, 2019 from Gombe State University Staff Quarters. The plant was identified and authenticated by Dr. Daniel Zigla of Biological Science Department, Gombe State University, Gombe. The plant sample was air-dried, weighed, pulverized and stored in an airtight container in a refrigerator at 4°C until required for use. The aerial part of the S. monostachyus (380 g) was subjected to hydrodistillation using a Clevenger-type apparatus for 3 hrs according to the British Pharmacopoeia (1980) specification. The average yields were taken over three experiments and expressed as percentage dry weight per volume. The resulting essential oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and stored in small amber-coloured vials at 4°C until needed for bioassay and GC-MS analysis.

Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopic Analysis

The volatile oil components was determined using Agilent 6890N GC with an FID and GC–MS 5973 equipped with a DB-5MS column (30 m x 0.25 mm i.d., 1 μ m film thickness, Agilent Technologies). The GC settings were as follows; the temperature of the oven was kept at 50 °C for 5 min, and



then raised to 300 °C at the rate of 10 °C/ min. The flow rate of helium conveying gas was 1.2 ml/min. The temperature of injector was maintained at 230 °C. The samples were injected neat with split ratio of 1:10. The recording of the mass spectra were carried over the range of 40-450 amu at one scan per second with 70 eV ionization energy and 230 °C ion source temperature. The retention indices were calculated for all volatile oil constituents using a homologous series of n-alkanes C on the DB-5MS column. The percentage composition of each component of the oils was determined on the basis of GC peak area (FID response) without correction. Their relative retention indices and NIST MS database search was used to identify the various components.

Larvicidal Assay

Larvicidal activity was carried out according to the WHO larval susceptibility test methods (WHO, 2005). Stock solution was prepared in ethanol by measuring 200 mg of the essential oil and dissolving it in 2 mL of ethanol to produce 10,000 µg/mL crude essential oil solution. This stock solution was further diluted to obtain the final concentrations of 400, 200, 100, 50, 25 and 12.5 μ g/mL. The oils were dissolved in 1 mL of ethanol, then diluted to 100 mL in deionized water to obtain the desired concentrations. The tests were performed in 500 mL plastic containers each containing 20 of the third and fourth instar larvae. The larvae were fed with cabin biscuits and baker's yeast at ratio 3:1. These experiments were replicated three times. Control test was carried out using 1 mL of ethanol in 99 mL of water. The test containers were kept for 24 hrs with a photoperiod of a 12:12 hrs light/dark cycle. Mortality was assessed by direct observation of larval movements and probing the cervical region with a needle after 24 hrs.

Statistical Analysis

The percentage mortality of the larvae was ascertained using Probit analysis at $p \le 0.05$. The lethal concentrations (LC₅₀ and LC₉₀), was calculated using Log-Probit at 95% confidence limits.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The essential oil extracted from S. monostachyus aerial part afforded a yellow oil with percentage yield of 0.28% per sample dry weight. This result corroborates the work reported by Essien et al. (2017) (0.2%) from the same plant in Uyo, Nigeria but showed much differences with one reported by Mve-Mba et al. (1994) (0.02%) from Cameroon. Preliminary GC analyses of the oil samples revealed that the composition of the essential oil was a complex mixture. Table. 1 shows the composition of the essential oil, their percentage composition and retention indices listed in order of elution. A total of 29 compounds representing 90.80% of the oil have been identified.

The main constituents of the essential oil extracted from S. monostachyus were Caryophyllene oxide (21.6%), β-Caryophyllene (19.6%), β -Pinene (9.8%), Germacrene D (7.3%) and α -Farnesene (4.1%). This result agree with previous studies reported by Essien et al. (2017) and contradicts the result reported by Mve-Mba et al. (1994). Mve-Mba et al. reported that the major components of the essential oils of the plant leaf were α -Pinene (13%), Oct-1en-3-ol (12.6%), β- Caryophyllene (6.9%), Octan-3-ol (6.8%), α -Farnesene (6.2%) and Germacrene D (5.2%). In the case of Essien et al., the major components of the plant leaf oils observed were β - Caryophyllene (27.43%),α-Caryophyllene (12.90%), Caryophyllene oxide (24.83%), β -Pinene



(6.96%) and Germacrene D (2.2%). Although there are variations in percentage composition of the oil constituents, β -Caryophyllene, β -Pinene, Germacrene and α -caryophyllene are common to all. The difference in percentage composition could be due to difference in geographical location, climate condition, time of harvest and method of extraction (Sanli and Karadogan, 2016). Furthermore, the aerial part of the plant was used in this study. This may also account for the observed variations in their chemical composition and percentage yield.

S/N	Compounds	RI Calculated	RI Literature	% Composition
1	α-Thujene	925	925	0.1
2	α- Pinene	940	933	2.3
3	β-Pinene	973	973	9.8
4	Myrcene	980	983	0.6
5	Octen-1-ene-3-ol	965	968	2.9
6	δ-3-carene	1014	1013	1.1
7	<i>p</i> -Cymene	1026	1027	0.9
8	Limonene	1023	1024	1.2
9	(E) 2-octen-1-ol	1067	1067	1.8
10	Linalool	1087	1103	2.3
11	Thujol	1096	1097	0.1
12	α-Terpineol	1182	1177	0.1
12	Thymol	1278	1276	2.1
14	Limonene oxide	1135	1134	0.1
15	Myrcenyl acetate	1306	1327	1.3
16	α-Copaene	1374	1378	1.2
17	β-Caryophyllene	1420	1421	19.6
18	Aromadendrene	1440	1443	0.2
19	α-Himachalene	1447	1445	0.1
20	α-Humulene	1453	1455	3.3
21	Germacrene D	1476	1480	7.3
22	α-Selinene	1493	1495	0.7
23	α- Farnesene	1501	1500	4.1
24	δ-Cadinene	1522	1518	1.2
25	E-Nerolidol	1554	1550	1.4
26	Caryophyllene oxide	1584	1586	21.6
27	Globulol	1590	1592	1.5
28	δ-Cadinol	1642	1645	2.2
29	Phytol	1949	2022	0.5
]	90.8		

 Table 1: Chemical composition of S. monostachyus essential oil

Larvicidal activity of *S. monostachyus* essential oil at various concentrations against the larvae of *An. gambiae* is given in Table 2. After 24 hrs exposure, the mortality rate of the larvae varied according to the concentrations of essential oils. At 25 μ g/mL, the *S. monostachyus* volatile oil mortality rate was 57%. The LC₅₀ and LC₉₀

were found to be 23.44 and 186.21 µg/mL respectively. The high activity of the oil could be due to the presence of an essential oil constituent or their synergistic effect. Caryophyllene oxide and phytol have been reported to have high activity against *An. sinensis* with LC₅₀ of 39.09 and 16.03 µg/mL respectively (Luo *et al.*, 2022).





Furthermore, Hung *et al.* (2019) reported mosquito larvicidal activity of β -

caryophyllene and α -pinene with LC₅₀ of 41.66 and 32.09 µg/mL respectively.

Table 2: Percent mortality of larvae of An. gambiae exposed to varying concentrations of S.

 monostachyus
 Volatile oil.

Species	Conc. (µg/mL)	% mortality	Larvicidal activity (95% CL)	
			LC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	$LC_{90}(\mu g/mL)$
Essential	12.50	38 ± 0.73		
oil	25.00	57±1.12		
	50.00	64 ± 0.98	23.44	186.21
	100.00	77±2.01	(22.42-24.46)	(185.87-186.55)
	200.00	86±1.88		
	400.00	98±2.33		
Ethanol	0.00	0 ± 0.10		

This result is similar to the report published by Chukwura, and Iheukwumere (2013) who evaluated the larvicidal activities of the leaf extract of *S. monostachyus* with LC_{50} of 28 ppm.

CONCLUSION

The study showed that *S. monostachyus* essential oil exhibited strong activity against *Anopheles gambiae* larvae. The plant may serve as a new source of raw material for the control of malarial parasite by breaking the vector life cycle. The *S. monostachyus* volatile oil could serve as a new plant-based larvicides to control *An. gambiae* mosquitoes. The availability and accessibility of the plant will go a long way in assisting the indigenous populace to meet their healthcare needs at little or no cost.

REFERENCES

- Asadollahi, A., Khoobdel, M., Zahraei-Ramazani, A. Z, Sahar Azarmi, S. and Mosawi, S. H. (2019). Effectiveness of plant-based repellents against different Anopheles species: a systematic review, *Malaria Journal*, 18(1):436-455
- Ashley, E.A., Dhorda, M., Fairhurst, R.M., Amaratunga, C., Lim, P., Suon, S., Sreng, S. *et al.* (2014). Spread of Artemisinin Resistance in *Plasmodium*

falciparum Malaria. The New England Journal of Medicine, 371:411-23.

- Atiko, R., Kwaji, A., Yoriyo, K. P. and Onocha, P. A. (2016). Chemical composition and larvicidal activity of *Hyptis spicigera* volatile oils against mosquito larvae: Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus Say.International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 7(7):888-891.
- British Pharmacopoeia II (1980). Her Majesty Stationary Office London, UK. pp 109-123
- Chukwura, E. I. and Iheukwumere, I. (2013). Larvicidal activity of Ocimum gratissimum and Solenostemon monostachyus leaves on Anopheles gambiae. Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research, 72: 577-580.
- Conti, B., Benelli, G., Flamini, G., Cioni, P. L., Profeti, R., Ceccarini, L., Macchia, M and Canale, A. (2012). Larvicidal and repellent activity of *Hyptis suaveolens* (Lamiaceae) essential oil against the mosquito *Aedes albopictus* Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae) *Parasitology Resource*, 110(5):2013–2021.
- Essien, E. E., Thomas, P. S. and Choudhary, M. I. (2017).Aroma profile of essential oils of *Solenostemon monostachyus* P.



Beauv from Nigeria. *UK Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biosciences*, 5(2): 34-37.

- Feng, X., Feng, J., Zhang, L., Tu, H. and Xia, Z. (2022). Vector control in China, from malaria endemic to elimination and challenges ahead. *Infectious Disease of Poverty* 11(54) Doi: 10.1186/s40249-022-00971-3).
- Hung, N. H., Satyal, P., Hieu, H. V., Chuong, N. T. H., Dai, D. N., Huong, L. T., Tai, T. A. and Setzer, W. N. (2019). Mosquito larvicidal activity of the essential oils of *Erechtites* species growing wild in Vietnam. *Insects*, 10(2): 47-50
- Intirach, J., Junkum, A., Tuetun, B, Choochote, W., Chaithong, U., Jitpakdi, A. *et al.* (2012) Chemical constituents and combined larvicidal effects of selected essential oils against *Anopheles cracens* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Psyche*, 2012:1-11.
- Khan, S., Uddin, M. N., Rizwan, M. Khan, W., Farooq, M., Shah, A. S. et al. (2020). Mechanism of insecticide resistance in insects/pests. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 29(3): 2023-2030.
- Luo, D-Y., Yan, Z-T., Che, L-R., Zhu, J. J. and Chen, B. (2022). Repellency and insecticidal activity of seven Mugwort (*Artemisia argyi*) essential oils against the malaria vector *Anopheles sinensis*. *Scientific Reports*, 12:5337-5346.
- Mvé-Mba, C. E., Menut, C., Lamaty, G., Zollo, P. H. A., Tchoumbougnang, F. and Bessière, J. M. (1994). Aromatic plants of Tropical Central Africa. Part XIX. Volatile components from Leaves of two Lamiaceae from Cameroon: Leucas deflexa Hook and Solenostemon monostachyus, (P.

Beauv.) Briq. *Flavour and Fragrance Journal*, 9(6):315-317.

N'Guessan, R., Rowland, M., Moumouni, T-L., Kesse, N. B. and Carnevale, P. (2006). Evaluation of synthetic repellents on mosquito nets in experimental huts against insecticideresistant *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* mosquitoes,

> Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 100(12):1091– 1097.

- N'Guessan, R., Corbel, V., Akogbeto, M. and Rowland, M. (2007). Reduced efficacy of insecticide-treated nets and indoor residual spraying for malaria control in pyrethroid resistance, *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 13(2):199-206.
- Roux, A. T., Maharaj, L., Oyegoke, O., Akoniyon, O. P., Adeleke, M. A., Maharaj, R. and Okpeku, M. (2021). Chloroquine and Sulfadoxine– Pyrimethamine Resistance in Sub-Saharan Africa—A Review. *Frontiers in Genetics*, DOI: 10.3389/668574.
- Şanli, A. and Karadoğan, T. (2016). Geographical impact on essential oil compositionof endemic Kundmannia anatolica Hub.-Mor. (Apiaceae). Journal African Traditional, of Complementary and Alternative *Medicine*, 14(1):131-137.
- WHO (2005).Guidelines for Laboratory and Field Testing of Mosquito Larvicides. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/ handle/10665/69101.
- WHO: World malaria report 2021.Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 6 December 2021 Global report.