



### EFFECT OF ABATTOIR EFFLUENT ON MACRO INVERTEBRATE ALONG UNGUWA UKU STREAM, GOMBE

KABIRU MUHAMMAD<sup>1,a</sup>, LUIS PAWKWANDI<sup>2,b</sup> and DANLADI MOHAMMED UMAR<sup>3c</sup>

Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Gombe State University, PMB 127 Gombe, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: dogonyaro97@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

The physico-chemical parameters and macroinvertebrates in Anguwa-uku stream Gombe between the months of May through July 2021 within three sampling sites, each 10 m apart were selected along the stretch of the stream and studied to assess the impact of abattoir effluent on macro invertebrate samples. Physico-chemical parameters were within the allowable limits of United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) for fresh water bodies. There were significant differences (p<0.05) in the mean values of Dissolved Oxygen(DO) (2.63), Total Dissolved Solid TDS(58.00), transparency(68.05), nitrate(0.83) and phosphate(1.50) between the months and through the sampling stations. A total of fifteen genera of benthic macro-invertebrates belonging to five orders (Diptera(29), Decapoda(37), Coleoptera(52), Odonata(3), and Prosobranchia(2) were recorded in the three sampling sites of the stream during the study. Pollution tolerant species including *Coenagrionides* and *Chironomus sp.* Dominated the benthic macroinvertebrates with a total number and percentage abundance of 29(43.21%) and 20(31.25%), respectively. Anguwa uku stream is relatively under stress due to dominance of indicators of pollution.

Keyword: Physico-Chemical Parameters, Macroinvertebrates And Abattoir Effluent

# INTRODUCTION

Macro invertebrates are a diverse array of animals without backbones operationally defined as those that are retained by a sieve or mesh with pores size of 0.2 to 0.5 mm, as used most frequently in stream sampling devices. Stream macro invertebrates include various groups of worm (flatworms, eelworms and segmented round-worms), mollusks (snails and bivalves), crustaceans (shrimps, crayfish and other shrimp-like groups), mites, and above all insects (Winterbourn, 1999). Most invertebrates are important components of stream ecosystems. They graze periphyton (and may prevent blooms in some areas), assist in the breakdown of organic matter and cycling of nutrients and, in turn, may become food for predators (e.g., fish) (Hynes, 2010; Jimoh et al., 2011; Uwem et al., 2011). Macro invertebrates organisms are the most commonly used for biological monitoring of freshwater ecosystems worldwide. This is because they are found in most habitats, have generally limited mobility, are quite easy to collect by way of well-established sampling techniques, and there is a diversity of forms that ensures a wide range of sensitivities to changes in both water quality (of virtually any nature) and habitats (Hellawell, 2000; Abel, 2019).

The invertebrates, which live on, in, or near the substratum of running water, include representatives of almost every taxonomical group that occurs in freshwater.Water is the most relevant natural resources to the existence of man. Without it nothing would survive on the earth. The volume of water which is available in portable forms is found in water from the ground, springs, rivers and lakes, the proportion of which is only about



3%. The available water is often inadequate to meet the needs of ever-growing population and industrial demands (Behailuet al, 2017). It is a common situation in the African continent that majority of the people are living in environments where the available water resources do not meet global standard(Salami et al,2017). Groundwater is the commonest potable source around the world (Kanmani, composition 2013). The chemical of groundwater is an indicator of how suitable it is for the consumption, for human beings, animals and plants (Chakraborty, 2015). Water quality refers to the amount of physical impurities, dissolved gases, chemicals, and pathogen in a given sample of water (Wurbs, 2010) Human activities impact natural water sources, including groundwater. One of such activities is the indiscriminate location of abattoirs in residential areas in developing countries. However, the settlement of abattoir otherwise known as slaughtering house is not often monitored and regulated as expected. Most of the abattoirs in Nigeria are not well developed and facilities for the handling of abattoir solid waste and wastewater are absent. Water pollution caused from abattoir effluents leads to contamination of water bodies and could create significant hazards (Kaweet al, 2012).

activities The abattoir include main butchering, removal of the hide, intestine management, rendering, trimming, processing and cleaning activities. The wastes generated from abattoirs usually comprise blood, oil, mineral and organic solids, salts and chemicals added during handling operations. wastewater could significantly Abattoir intensify the amounts of nitrogen, phosphorus, and total solids in the receiving water body (Akange et al, 2016). Slaughtering houses are globally to contaminate known the environment either directly or indirectly from their several procedures. The situation is worsened when abattoirs are situated near

residential areas and as such the abattoir wastes are disposed in gullies where runoff washes them downhill, thereby contaminating nearby streams.The groundwater and dynamics of stream macro-invertebrates is influenced, by a number of factors such as geomorphology, physicochemical and biological factors as well as the pollution status of the water (Batabyal et al., 2015). Anguwa-Uku stream, receives effluent from the abattoir in Gombe metropolitan town. The effluents pollute water channel to the extent that it becomes deleterious to aquatic animals. There is only few documented information on the pollution status of these effluents. The present study is therefore aimed to determine the status of abattoir effluents on the stream that carries the effluent and to assess the influence of these effluents on the abundance and distribution of macro invertebrates. The study aimed at investigating the effect of abattoir effluent on macro invertebrates at Anguwa-Uku stream fed with effluent from Gombe township abattoir

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Study Area

This research was conducted in Gombe township abattoir along the stream that carries the effluent. The abattoir lies between the latitude 10.17<sup>o</sup>N and longitude 11.11<sup>o</sup>E whereas Gombe lies between latitude 10.08<sup>o</sup>N and 11.24<sup>o</sup>E. Gombe is the capital city of Gombe State which is located in the center of the North eastern part of the country.

Anguwa uku stream lies between longitude  $10^{0}, 17.36^{0}$ N and latitude $11^{0}$   $11.72^{0}$ E at an elevation of 419m. The stream was given birth as a result of convergence of multiple drainage sources emerging from within the metropolis surface runoff. In addition to the primary surface run off from the riparian communities, the stream is also fed from another arm which majorly delivers effluents



from the Gombe township abattoir located a few meters away from the stream. The stream flows just outside the Gombe metropolis along the edge of Anguwa-uku passing through Wuro-Bello,Wuro-Jauroyya, the nearest villages and is likely emptied into the dam in Dadin kowa.

The stream substratum consists mainly of fine sand mixed with mud and occasionally with coarse sand and pebbles. Decaying macrophyte and debris also form part of the substratum. The wet season is from April to October and the dry season is from November to March.

### Water Sampling Collection

Water samples were collected on a monthly basis between May and July, 2021 at three stations i.e. the upstream, midstream and downstream with an interval of 10m apart to ensure the homogeneity of the variables. Surface water temperatures were recorded with a thermometer. PH, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), transparency/Turbidity and Alkalinity were determined according to APHA (1998) method. Nitrate and Phosphate were measured spectrophotometrically after reduction with appropriate solutions APHA (1998).

### Macro invertebrate Sampling

Macro-invertebrates were collected using the kick sampling method at each station (Emere, and Narisu, 2007). Samples for macro-

invertebrates were collected into pre labelled collecting bottles containing 70% ethanol to preserve them. The bottles were taken to Biological Sciences Laboratory, Gombe State University. In the laboratory, samples were washed in a 500-mm mesh sieve to remove sand and macroinvertebrates were sorted stereoscopic microscope using а (magnification X10). All animals were separated and enumerated and identified under a binocular dissecting microscope. Macroinvertebrate species were identified using available keys (Day et al. 2002; de Moor et al. 2003; Arimoro and James 2008), and keys from elsewhere; Merritt and Cummins (1996) as well as assistance from macroinvertebrate specialists.

### **Data Analysis**

All statistical analysis where appropriate were conducted using SPSS. The summary of Biological metrics, physical and chemical variables was compared using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and the correlation coefficient was tested using Pearson Correlation.

### RESULTS

The physico-chemical parameters of running waters could have been influenced by natural and anthropogenic activities around the sampling sites of this study as shown in Table 1 and 2.

**Table 1:** Variation of Physico-chemical Parameters for the three stations along Unguwa Uku stream (Mav-July, 2021).

Physicochemical Parameters	Upstream	Midstream	Downstream	P value		
Temperature (°C)	33.19±0.85 <sup>b</sup>	22.20±0.85 <sup>b</sup>	$29.00{\pm}0.7^{a}$	0.001**		
pH	7.33±0.29ª	7.22±0.27a	7.11±2.00a	0.451ns		
Transparency (cm)	10.07±6.85a	14.70±4.44a	13.38±2.11a	0.267ns		
Phosphate (mg/L)	$2.12{\pm}0.45^{a}$	1.80±53.53 <sup>b</sup>	$1.2 \pm 2.2^{b}$	0.202ns		
Turbidity (mg/L)	$77.40{\pm}5.83^{a}$	71.10±84.39ª	$87.61 \pm 1.80^{a}$	0.40ns		
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	$2.74 \pm 3.40^{a}$	$2.38{\pm}0.43^{a}$	3.41±0.81ª	0.309ns		
Nitrate (mg/L)	$1.42{\pm}0.14^{a}$	$1.21{\pm}0.18^{a}$	$1.28{\pm}0.31^{a}$	0.337ns		
Total Dissolved Solid (ppm)	55.09±0.22ª	57±0.20ª	53±0.53ª	0.557ns		

Means with different alphabet(s) along rows are significantly different





\*\* Significant different at  $P \le 0.01$ , ns – not significant at  $P \ge 0.05$ .

**Table 2:** Monthly Variation of Physico-chemical Parameters along Unguwa Uku stream, GombeState (May-July, 2021).

cc	Temperature (°C)	pH	Transparency (cm)	Turbidity (mg/L)	Phosphate (mg/L)	TDS (ppm)	Nitrate	D.O(mg/L)
May	24.78±0.38ab	7.75±0.45ª	63.38±6.52ª	75.75±1.96b	1.50±4.11ab	58+0.52	0.88+0.26	2.15+0.56ª
June	24.85±0.45ab	7.85±0.46ª	48.50±7.23ª	79.25±5.69b	2.50±4.11ab	60+0.43	1.54+0.71	2.63+0.71ª
July	24.93±0.57ab	7.30±0.13ª	68.00±3.63ª	88.50±8.46a	2.75±7.66ª	62+0.34	1.33+0.24	1.03+0.43ª
P value	0.003**	0.440ns	0.506ns	0.001**	0.370ns	0.52ns	0.002ns	0.45ns

Means with different alphabet(s) along columns are not significantly different. \*\* significant at  $P \le 0.01$ , ns – not significant at P > 0.05.

The benthic macro invertebrates' community composition of a particular habitat reflects the habitat characteristics. The presence of a particular population is governed by a specific set of ecological conditions prevailing at that period of times. The varieties found shows that the macro invertebrates are not sensitive species rather pollution tolerant species since they can thrive in a highly polluted water. Below is Table 3 showing the list of macro invertebrates found within the three selected stations of the stream.

S/N	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	Common names
1		Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Cricotopus	syvestris	Non-biting midge
2		Insecta	Odonata	Gomphidae	Stylurus	plagiatus	Dragonfly
3		Insecta	Coleoptera	Psphenidae	Sapereda	vestita	Water penny larvae
4		Maxillopoda	Coleoptera	Euchaetidae	Pteroxena	ischnochitonika	Copepod
5		Insecta	Coleoptera	Eliminidae	Zaitzevia	parucila	Riffle beetle larvae
6		Insecta	Dipteral	Tabaidae	Tabanus	sulcifrons	Horsefly
7		Insecta	Coleoptera	Gyrinidae	Gyrinus	marinus	Whirligig beetle larvae
8		Insecta	Odonata	Coenagrionidae	Argis	apicalis	Damselfly
9		Insecta	Diptera	Ceratopogonidae	Leptoconops	bezzianobilis	Biting midge larvae
10		Insecta	Diptera	Syriphidae	Eristalis	tenas	Rat-tailed maggot larvae
11		Malacostraca	Decapoda	Atyidae	Gammanis	tulas	Freshwater shrimp
12	<	Insecta	Coleoptera	Amphizoidae	Dysticus	lastissimus	Adult beetle
13	Ĩ	Gastropoda	Prosobranchia	Pleuroceridae	Hortia	aruensis	Gilled snail
14	ARTHROPOD	Insecta	Odonata	Aeshnidae	Gynacantha	vesiculata	Aeshnid larvae
15	ARTF	Insecta	Diptera	Bibionidae	Rhagoletis	pomonella	Marchfly larvae

**Table 3:** A checklist of macro invertebrates.





Composition of macroinvertebrates is displayed in Table 4. In this present study, altogether 5 groups of benthic macro invertebrates were recorded, viz. Diptera, Coleoptera, Decapoda, Odonata. and Prosobranchia. The macro invertebrate abundance was found to vary in different months depending on the amount of discharge from rainfall. The macro invertebrate abundance was found to be more through the month of May when there was little or no rainfall and less abundance was recorded through the month of June and July where the rainy season set in.

**Table 4:** Composition of Macro-invertebrate along the three selected sample stations of Anguwa-uku stream.

MAY	MAY								
S/N	Order	Upstream	Midstream	Downstream	Total Composition	Composition (%)			
1	Diptera	4	7	9	20	31.25			
2	Odonata	10	0	5	15	23.44			
3	coleoptera	3	14	12	29	45.31			

JUNE

JONE							
S/N	Order	Upstream	Midstream	Downstream	Total Composition	Composition (%)	
1	Diptera	3	2	0	5	16.13	
2	Odonata	1	3	8	12	38.71	
3	Coleoptera	3	5	1	9	29.03	
4	Decapoda	0	0	3	3	9.68	
5	Prosobranchia	0	0	2	2	6.45	

JULY

S/N	Order	Upstream	Midstream	Downstream	Total Composition	Composition (%)
1	Diptera	3	1	0	4	14.29
2	Odonata	1	0	9	10	35.71
3	coleoptera	10	3	1	14	50.00

From the present study, the low number of benthicmacroinvertebrates encountered could be due tosome ecological imbalance arising from alterations of some important factors (including water quality, immediate substrates occupation and foodavailability) for governing the abundance and distribution of the communities(Andem et al., 2012). Similar obtained Ogbeibu results were by andEgborge(1995) in water bodies in the Okomu forestreserve(sanctuary) in southern Nigeria and theystated that high biodiversity is expected inecosystems devoid of significant anthropogenicimpacts.

The occurrence and dominance of pollutiontolerant species (Melanoides tuberculata and Chironomus larvae) in the study area suggests deterioration of water quality. Chironomus larvae areknown to thrive in polluted environment probablydue to possession of haemoglobin a pigment thattransport and store dissolved oxygen (Tyokumbur etal., 2002). The presence of these indicator speciessuggests organic pollution from anthropogenicsource. Hence, the abattoir effluent has effect on the macro invertebrates along anguwa uku stream.



The month of May recorded the highest abundance of macro invertebrate (64) and the month of July, recorded the least (28) the least. The benthic macroinvertebrates with the highest percentage abundance was Coleoptera in the first and third month (43.51%) and(50.00%)Diptera(31.25%) in May was> greater rhan that of July and Odonata lesser in May(23.44%) but highest in June(38.71%). Decapoda (9.68%) and Prosobranchia (6.45%) recorded the most minimal percentage composition.

### DISCUSSION

The physicochemical qualities of water, immediate substrate of occupation, level of discharge and food availability are important factors affecting the abundance of macro invertebrates (Arimoro and Muller 2010). The physicochemical parameters of Anguwa-uku stream demonstrated a fair level of pollution. However, water conditions were better in the upstream station and further downstream than in the middle reaches. This may be as a result of the influx of the abattoir effluent hitting the stream at that particular point. Water temperature over the span of three months fluctuated with a minimal difference between the three stations where the temperature was recorded. At the upstream station, it was recorded at a minimum of 22.85°C. The midand downstream recorded of non difference as the temperature rose to 24<sup>o</sup>C. Generally, depth and flow velocity were considerably greater during the second and third month (June and July) as rainfall was more persistent than in may where there was little or no rainfall. Most of the chemical variables (Dissolved Oxygen, Nitrogen) Phosphate and showed а statistically significant difference from the month of May through July. Lesser values Dissolved Oxygen downstream might have been exherting respiratory stress for the aquatic fauna which is in agreement the findings of Nnaji et al. (2011) who mentioned comparable situation for the River Galma, Nigeria. Hydrogen ion concentration of the various sampling sites revealed that the stream is slightly acidic but differences among the sites were not statistically significant. pH being one of the most important water quality parameters has been found to have profound effect on the ecology of macro invertebrate in the aquatic system although, macro invertebrate sensitivity to pH varies (Yuan, 2004). Values below 5.0 and greater than 9.0 are considered harmful. Low pH values are associated with lower diversity of macro invertebrate.

It has been reported in a number of studies that intense human activities resulting from discharge of organic pollutants into streams lead to increase in nutrient levels and in biological oxygen demand which in turn affects the distribution and abundance of macro invertebrates (Masese *et al.* 2009; Gichana *et al.* 2015; Mwedzi *et al.* 2016).

The dominant macro invertebrates in Anguwa-uku were the aquatic insects. This observation is similar to the observation of Imoobe (2008) in Ologe Lagoon, Nigeria, and that of Arimoro et al. (2015), but differs from the dominance by mollusks reported in Lagos Lagoon and Porto Novo Creek (Ajao and Fagade 2002). These differences in the dominance of macro invertebrates are linked to the environmental conditions of the various water bodies. The abundance of Diptera, Coleoptera, and Odonata in all the stations is an indication that these sites are relatively polluted. It has been reported that the species of Coleoptera is found in mostly very clean waters (Andem et al. 2014).

The tolerant Dipterans were the most dominant order with a little of Odonatans. Sensitive taxa as coleoptera, prosobranchea and decapoda represented together only few portion of the observed numbers, whereas Plecoptera were totally lacking. The



opportunistic pollution tolerant aquatic macro invertebrate taxa recorded at these stations are known to thrive in organically polluted areas of aquatic environments. Furthermore, the total number of orders observed in the present study was comparable with Wosinie and Wondie (2014) but was rather low compared with other studies in Ethiopia (Mehari *et al.*, 2014; Sitotaw, 2006) and in Africa (Kibichii *et al.*, 2007; Kasangaki *et al.*, 2008; Masese *et al.*, 2009).

# CONCLUSION

The physico-chemical parameters of Anguwauku receiving effluents from Gombe main abattoir lies within the allowable limits of fresh water body. The dominant benthic macro-invertebrates fauna of the stream recorded were pollution tolerant species. The low number of benthic macro-invertebrates and the dominant species suggested that the stream is relatively under stress. It is relatively polluted with organic pollutants released by effluent from the abattoir.

A better drainage system or underground sewage should be constructed to avoid or minimize the rate at which effluents from abattoirs or their likes are deposited onto water bodies. Regular assessment should be conducted to checkmate the increasing pollution trend which does more harm to the aquatic fauna.

# REFERENCES

- Abel, P. D. (2000). Water Pollution Biology. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK.
- Adeogun, A. O. and Fafioye, O.O.(2008).Impact of effluents on water quality and benthicmacro-invertebrate fauna of Awba stream and reservoir. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*, 15(1):105-113.
- Adams, W. J., Kimerle, R. A. and Barnett, J.W.(1992),Sediment quality and

CONSISTER PARTS

aquatic life assessment. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 26, 1864–1875.

- Ajao, E. A., Fagade, S. O. (2002). The benthic macro-fauna of Lagos Lagoon. *Zoologist, 1*:1–15.
- Akange, E. T., Chaha, J. A., and Odo, J. I. (2016) Impact of Wurukum abattoir effluent on river Benue Nigeria, using macroinvertebrates as bioindicators. *International Journal of* Aquaculture,6(22):1–11.
- Ali, M. H. H. and Fishar R. M. A. (2005). Accumulation of tracemetals in some benthic invertebrate and fish species relevantto their concentration in water and sediment of LakeQarun, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research 31:1110-0354.
- Andem, B. A., Okorafor Eyo, K. A., Ekpo, P. Ecological Impact B. (2012). Assessment and Limnological Characterization in the Intertidal Region Using Benthic of Calabar River **Bioindicator** Macroinvertebrates as Organisms. International Journal for Fisheries and Aquautic Studies 1(2): 8-14.
- Andem, A. B., Okorafor, K. A., Eyo, V. O. and Ekpo, P. B. (2014). Ecological impact assessment and limnological characterization in the intertidal region of Calabar River using benthic macroinvertebrates as bioindicator organisms. *International Journal Fish* and Aquatic Studies, 1(2):8–14.
- APHA (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, New York.
- Arimoro, F. O. and Ikomi, R. B. (2008). Response of macroinvertebrate communities to abattoir wastes and other anthropogenic activities in a municipal stream in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Environmentalist*, 28:85–98.

Bima Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5(2) Dec, 2021 ISSN: 2536-6041



- Arimoro, F. O. and Muller, W. J. (2010). Mayfly (Insecta: Ephemeroptera) community structure as an indicator of the ecological status of a stream in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria. *Environmental Monitoring Assessment*, 166:581–594.
- Arimoro, F. O., Odume, N. O., Uhunoma, S. I. Edegbene, and A. О. (2015). Anthropogenic impact on water and chemistry benthic macroinvertebrate associated changes in southern Nigeria stream. а Environmental Monitoring Assessment 187:1-14.
- Batabyal, A. K. and Chakraborty, S. (2015). Hydro geochemistry and water quality index in the assessment of groundwater quality for drinking uses. Water Environmental Resources.
- Behailu, T. W., Badessa, T. S., and Tewodros,
  B. A. (2017). Analysis of physical and chemical parameters in ground water used for drinking around Konso Area, Southwestern Ethiopia. *Journal of Anal Bioanal Techn* 8(5):379.
- Camargo, J. A. and M. J. Mufioz.(1989). A biological index toassess freshwater quality in the Iberian Peninsula, related tothe continental fish production. *Proceedings of the International Symposiums of Inland Aquaculture*, Madrid(Spain), Madrid Complutense University, Spain.
- Cao, Y., Bark, A. W. and Williams, W. P. (1996). Measuring the responses of macroinvertebrate communities to water pollution: a comparison of multivariate approaches, biotic and diversity indices. *Hydrobiologia 341(1)*:1–19.
- Chandler, J. R. (1970). A biological approach to water qualitymanagement. Water Pollution Control 69: 415.
- Chutter, F.M. (1998). Research on the Rapid Biological Assessment of water quality

impacts instreams and rivers. *Report to the water commission by environmental CSIR. WRC report* No422/1/98. Pretoria.

- Dahl, J. R., K. Johnson and L. Sandin.(2004). Detection of organicpollution of streams in southern Sweden using benthic macroinvertebrates. *Hydrobiologia* 516: 161–172.
- Duan, X. H. (2009). Ecological impacts of water and sedimenttransportation on macroinvertebrate community in Rivers.Doctoral dissertation, Tsinghua University, Beijing. 180–185 (in Chinese).
- Duan, X. H., Z. Y, Wang & M. Z. Xu.(2011). Effects of fluvialprocesses andhumanactivities on stream macroinvertebrates. *International Journal of Sediment Research* 26: 416–430.
- Dudgeon, D. (2012). Responses of benthic macroinvertebrate communities to altitude and geology in tributaries of the Sepik River (Papua New Guinea): the influence of taxonomic resolution on the detection of environmental gradients. *Freshwater Biology* 57:1794–1812.
- Food and Agricultural Organisation (1995). Environmental impactassessment of irrigation and drainageprojects. Irrigation and Drainage. paper No.53.Food and Agriculture organization, Rome, Italy, 85pp.
- Foley, J. A., DeFries, R., Gregory, P. A., Carol, B., Godon, B. and Stephen, R.(2005). Global consequences of land use.*Science*, 309 570–574.
- Gichana, Z., Njiru, M., Raburu, P. O., Masese, F. O. (2015). Effects of human activities on benthic macroinvertebrate community composition and water quality in the upper catchment of the Mara River Basin, Kenya. Lakes Reserve Resource Management 20(2):128–137.



- Gupta, G.K., and Shukle, R.(2006). Physiochemical and Bacteriological quality in various sources of drinking water from Auriya district(UP) Industrial area. Pollution Research, 23(4):205-209.
- Hellawell, J. M. (1986). Biological Indicators of Freshwater Pollution and Environmental Management. Elsevier, London,.
- Highes, K. A., and Thompson, A. (2003). Distribution of sewage pollution around a maritimeAntarctic research station indicated by faecal coliforms, *Clostridium perfringens* and faecalsterol markers. *Environmental Pollution*. 127:315-321.
- Hill, N., Simpson, S., and Johnston, E. (2013). Beyond the bed: Effects of metal contamination on recruitment to bedded sediments and overlying substrata. *Environmental Pollution*, 173; 182–191.
- Hilsenhoff, W. L. (1996). Effects of a catastrophic flood on the insect fauna of Otter Creek, Sauk County, Wisconsin. *Trancript of Wisconsin Academic Science*, 84:103-110.
- Hynes, H. B. N. (1960). The Biology of Polluted Waters. Liverpool University Press, Liverpool, England.
- Hynes, H. B. N. (1970). The Ecology of Running Waters. Liverpool University Press, England.
- Imoobe, T.O.T. (2008). Variation in benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages in Ologe Lagoon, Nigeria. African Journal of Aquatic Science, 33:45–50.
- Jacobsen, D. (2008). Low oxygen pressure as a driving factor for the altitudinal decline in taxon richness of stream macroinvertebrates. *Oecologia*, 154; 795–807.
- Jacobsen, D. and Marín, R.(2008). Bolivian altiplano streams with low richness of macroinvertebrates and large diel

fluctuations in temperature and dissolved oxygen *Aquatic Ecology*, 42 643–56.

- Jimoh, A. A., Clarke, O., Whenu, O. and Adeoye, H. B. (2011). Food and feeding habits of the African river prawn ((Macrobrachium vollenhovenii, Herklots, 1857) in Epe Lagoon, Southwest Nigeria. International Journal of Fishiseries and Aquaculture, 3(1):10-15.
- Kanmani, S. and Gandhimathi, R. (2013) Investigation of physicochemical characteristics and heavy metal distribution profile in groundwater system around the open dump site. *Journal of Applicable Water Science*, 3:387–399.
- Karr, J.R. (2005). Measuring biological condition, protecting biological integrity. *Principles of conservation biology essay*. Natural AcademyPress, Washington, D.C. 110-121.
- Kasangaki, A., Chapman, L.J. and Balirwa, J. (2008). Land use and the ecologyof benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages of high-altitude rainforest streams in Uganda.*Freshwater. Biology.*, 53: 681– 697.
- Kashefipour, S. M., Lin,B., Harris, E., and Falconer,R.A.(2002).Hydroenvironmental modellingfor bathing water compliance of an estuarine basin. *Water Research*, 36:1854-1868.
- Katsuro,A., Yashiko,K., Yoshinnori,S., Takashi, T., and Hayao,S.(2004).Heavy metal distributionin river waters and sediment a "firefly village", Shikou, Japan.*Application of multivariate* analysis. *Analytical science*.20:79-84.
- Keskes, S., Hmaied, F., Gannoun, H., Bouallagui, H., Godon, J.J., and Hamdi, M., (2012). Performance of a submerged membrane bioreactor for the aerobic treatment of abattoir wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*. 103(1): 28-34.



- Kibichii, S., Shivoga, W.A., Muchiri, M. and Miller, S.N. (2007). Macroinvertebrate assemblages along a land-use gradient in the upper River Njoro541 watershed of Lake Nakuru drainage basin, Kenya. *LakesReserve.: Resource. Management.* 12: 107–117.
- Lafabrie, C. Pergent, G.; Kantin, R.; Pergent-Martini, C.; Gonzalez, J.L. (2007) Trace metals assessment in water, sediment, mussel and seagrass species— Validation of the use of Posidonia oceanica as a metal biomonitor. Chemosphere, 68, 2033–2039.
- Masese, F.O., Muchiri, M. and Raburu, P.O. (2009). Macroinvertebrate assemblages as biological indicators of water quality in the Moiben River, Kenya. *African. Journal. Aquatic. Science.*, 34:15–26.
- Mehari, A.K., Wondie, A., Mingist, M. and Vijverberg, J. (2014). Spatial and seasonal variation in the macroinvertebrates and physicochemical parameters of the Enfranz River, Lake Tana. *Ecohydrology*. *Hydrobiology*., 14:304-312.
- Moyo,N.A.G., and Worster,K.(1997).The effects of organic pollution on the Mukuvisi river. InMoyo,N.A.G.(ed) Lake Chivero, a polluted Iake. University of Zimbabwe Publications, Harare.
- Mwedzi, T., Bere, T. and Mangadze, T. (2016). Macroinvertebrate assemblagesin agricultural, mining, and urban tropical streams: implications for conservation and management. *Environmental Science Pollutution Resources*.
- Nafarnda, W. D., Ajayi, I. E., Shawulu, J. C., Kawe, M. S., Omeiza, G. K., Sani, N.A., Tenuche, O. Z., Dantong, D. D. and Tags, S. Z. (2012) Bacteriological quality of abattoir effluents discharged

into water bodies in Abuja, Nigeria. ISRN Vetenary Sciences.

- Ndaruga, A. M., Ndiritu, G. G., Gichuki, N. N. and Wamicha, W. N.(2004) Impact of water quality on macroinvertebrate assemblagesalong a tropical stream in Kenya *African. Journal.Ecology*, 42 208–16.
- Nedeau, E. J., Merritt, R., W., and Kaufman, M.(2003). 'The effect of an industrial effluent on an urban stream benthic community:Water quality vs. habitat quality'. *Environmental pollution*,123(1), 1–13.
- Nkwoji,J.A., Yakub, A., Ajani,G.F., Balogun,K.J., Renuer,K.O., Igbo,J.K., Ariye, A. A. and Bello, B.O. (2010). Seasonal variations in the water chemistry and Benthic macroinvertebrates of a south water lagoon, Lagos. Journal of American science. 6(3), 85-92.
- Ogbeibu, A. E., Egborge, A. B. (1995). Hydrobiological studies of water bodies in the Okomu Forest Reserve (Sanctuary) in southern Nigeria. 1. Distribution and diversity of the invertebrate fauna. *Tropical Freshwater Biology*.4:1-27.
- Ortiz, J.D., and Puig, M. A. (2007).Point source effects on density, biomass and diversity ofbenthic macro-invertebrates in a Mediterranean stream. *Published online in Wiley Interscience* (www.interscience.wiley.com).
- Plafkin, J.L., Barbour, M.T., Porter, K.D., Gross, S.K. and Hughes, R.M.(1989). Rapid bioassessmentprotocols for use in and rivers. Benthic streams macroinvertebratesand fish. EPA 440-4-89-00.
- Rao, P.V.(2005).Textbook of environmental engineering. *Eastern Economy ED*,



Prentice Hall of India Private limited. New Delhi.

- Roy, A. H., Rosemond, A. D., Paul, M. J., Leigh, D. S, Wallace, J.B. (2003).
  Stream macroinvertebrate response to catchment urbanization (Georgia, U.S.A.). *Freshwater Biology* 48(2):329– 346.
- Sawyerr, H. O., Adedotun, A. T., Abiodun, S. A. and Salami, O. O. (2017). Impact of dumpsites on the quality of soil and groundwater in satellite towns of the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja, Nigeria. *Journal of Health Pollution*, 7(14):15–21.
- Thomann, R.V., Mullar, J.A. (1987). Principles of surface water quality modelling control. *Harper Collins*, New York.
- Tyokumbur, E. T., Okorie, E. T., Ugwumba, O.A. (2002).Limnological assessment of the effluent on Macro invertebrate fauna in Awba stream and Reservoir, Ibadan, Nigeria. *The Zoologist* 1: 59 – 62.
- Uwem, G. U., Ekanem, A. and Eni, G. (2011). feeding habits Food and of Ophiocephalus obscura (African snakehead) in the Cross River estuary, Cross River State, Nigeria. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture, 3(13):231-238.
- Verberk, W. C., E. P, Bilton., D. T, Calosi .P and Spicer, J. I. (2011). Oxygensupply in aquatic ectotherms: partial pressure and solubilitytogether explain

biodiversity and size patterns *Ecology*,92 1565–72.

- Voshell, J. R. Jr. (2002). A Guide to Common Freshwater Invertebrates of North America. First Edition. Blacksburg, Va: McDonald and Woodward Publishing Company.
- Wallace, J.B., and Webster, J.R. (1996). The role of macro-invertebrates in the streamecosystem function. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 41, 115-139.
- Winterbourn, M. J., Rounick, J. S. and Cowie,
  B. (1981). Are NewZealand stream ecosystems really different? N. Z. J.
  Mar. Freshwater Resorces, 15:321-328.
- Wosnie, A. and Wondie, A. (2014).
  Assessment of downstream impact of Bahir Da tannery effluent on the head of Blue Nile River using macroinvertebrates as bioindicators," *International Journal of Biodiversity* and Conservation, 6: 342–350.
- Wurbs, R. A. and James, W. P. (2010). Water resources engineering, 3rd edn. New Delhi India, PHI Learning Private Limited.
- Yan, N., Liu,W., Xie, H., Gao, L., Han, Y.,Wang, M.and Li, H.(2016) Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. *Journal. Environmental. Science.*, 39, 45–51.
- Zhang, X., Wu, Y., and Gu, B. (2015). Urban rivers as hotspots of regional nitrogen pollution. *Environmental Pollution*. 205: 139-144.