

SEMIGROUP SOLVABILITY OF SURFACE INTEGRALS

^{1*}BABAYO M. A AND ²MUHAMMAD A. B

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Federal University of Kashere, P. M. B. 0182, Nigeria. Email: baabaayo2014@gmail.com
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Gombe State University, P.M.B 127, Gombe, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author: bapdukku@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The study, algebraically explicates the general solvability of the Surface Integral $\iint_S f(x)dxdy$; by considering $\lim_{\Delta x_i \Delta y_i \rightarrow 0} \sum f(\xi_i) \Delta x_i \Delta y_i = \iint_S f(x)dxdy$. Then $|\sum f(\xi_i) \Delta x_i \Delta y_i - \iint_S f(x)dxdy| < \varepsilon$ whenever $|\Delta x_i \Delta y_i| < \delta$, where $f(\xi_i) \in \Delta x_i \Delta y_i$ and ε and δ are infinitesimally small numbers.

Keywords: Composition Series; Decomposition; Semigroup; Solvability; Surface Integrals

INTRODUCTION

It is a known fact that Calculus was introduced by Newton (1687) in order to study the length of some arcs $\frac{dy}{dx}$ and the areas

under the curves $\int_C f(x)dx$. For example, $\frac{d}{dr}(\pi r^2) = 2\pi r$ and $\int (2\pi r)dr = \pi r^2$.

The Semigroup, which was first introduced by Suschkewitz (1928), intrudes, since $2\pi r_1 + 2\pi r_2$ is $2\pi(r_1 + r_2)$.

Let $r_1 + r_2 = r_3$. Then $2\pi r_3$ is a circle of radius r_3 .

Thus, the closure property of semigroups is established.

The associativity is: $2\pi(r_1 + (r_2 + r_3)) = 2\pi((r_1 + r_2) + r_3)$.

Hence, the unit circle, $\theta^n = 1$ found in the scripts of [3,4,5] could be set such that $n = r_1 \times r_2 \times r_3 \dots \times r_{m-1} \times r_m$ (a prime factor decomposition [6,7,8]).

An example is $\theta^n = 1$ and $\theta^m = 1$ implies $\theta^{n+m} = 1$ and $\theta^{u+(v+w)} = \theta^{(u+v)+w} = 1$.

This study is intended to show the introduction of Semigroup concepts to the determination of solvability of Surface Integral, under some specified domain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. That is, ε and δ are small numbers between 0 and 1 on the real-line;

Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that:

$$|\sum f(\xi_i) \Delta x_i \Delta y_i - \iint_S f(x)dxdy| < \varepsilon; \text{ whenever } |\Delta x_i \Delta y_i| < \delta;$$

where $f(\xi_i) \in \Delta x_i \Delta y_i$.

Then $\iint_S f(x)dxdy$ is a surface integral, where $\Delta x_i = (x - x_i)$; and $\Delta y_i = (y - y_i)$.

The closure is from triangular inequality ($|A| + |B| > |A + B|$);

for all cases of A, B as real numbers: $A, B > 0$, $A, B < 0$ or $A > 0$ and $B < 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_i) \Delta x_i \Delta y_i - \iint_{S_i} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_j) \Delta x_j \Delta y_j - \iint_{S_j} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{i+j}) \Delta x_{i+j} \Delta y_{i+j} - \iint_{S_{i+j}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right); \\ & \text{whenever } |\Delta x_{i+j} \Delta y_{i+j}| < \delta \end{aligned}$$

and the associativity established from $f(\xi_{i+(j+k)}) = f(\xi_{(i+j)+k})$.

Define:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & \equiv \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_b) \Delta x_b \Delta y_b - \iint_{S_b} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \text{ mod } d, \text{ if and only if:} \\ & \left(\left| \sum f\left(\xi_{\frac{a-b}{d}}\right) \Delta x_{\frac{a-b}{d}} \Delta y_{\frac{a-b}{d}} - \iint_{S_{\frac{a-b}{d}}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & \in \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_i) \Delta x_i \Delta y_i - \iint_{S_i} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, this congruence definition is an equivalence relation.

Hence, one can define a naturally existing canonical map by:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right); \end{aligned}$$

Thus, establishing the Onto-Homomorphism.

SOLUTION AND RESULTS

(i) It can be noticed that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \\ & \text{is an ideal of } \left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon, \\ \text{because: } & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{2a+b}) \Delta x_{2a+b} \Delta y_{2a+b} - \iint_{S_{2a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & \subseteq \left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon; \end{aligned}$$

Also :

$$\begin{aligned} & L \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{2a+b}) \Delta x_{2a+b} \Delta y_{2a+b} - \iint_{S_{2a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & \subseteq \left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

This, defines the naturally existing canonical map $\theta: S \rightarrow S/I$ by:

$$\theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$$

$$= \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right).$$

Then the Onto Homomorphism is established as follows:

The preservation of composition is:

$$\theta \left[\begin{array}{l} \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_u) \Delta x_u \Delta y_u - \iint_{S_u} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_v) \Delta x_v \Delta y_v - \iint_{S_v} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \end{array} \right] \quad \text{is by triangular}$$

inequality, $\theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+v}) \Delta x_{u+v} \Delta y_{u+v} - \iint_{S_{u+v}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$ which by definition of θ

is: $\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{(u+v)+b}) \Delta x_{(u+v)+b} \Delta y_{(u+v)+b} - \iint_{S_{(u+v)+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$ which is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+b}) \Delta x_{u+b} \Delta y_{u+b} - \iint_{S_{u+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b}) \Delta x_{v+b} \Delta y_{v+b} - \iint_{S_{v+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \text{ which is:} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_u) \Delta x_u \Delta y_u - \iint_{S_u} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_v) \Delta x_v \Delta y_v - \iint_{S_v} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right), \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

For the Onto-ness:

$$\text{Let } \theta^{-1} \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+b}) \Delta x_{u+b} \Delta y_{u+b} - \iint_{S_{u+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right);$$

$$\text{Be equal to } \theta^{-1} \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b}) \Delta x_{v+b} \Delta y_{v+b} - \iint_{S_{v+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right).$$

Then, by definition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_u) \Delta x_u \Delta y_u - \iint_{S_u} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_v) \Delta x_v \Delta y_v - \iint_{S_v} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

That is to say:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_u) \Delta x_u \Delta y_u - \iint_{S_u} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_b) \Delta x_b \Delta y_b - \iint_{S_b} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_v) \Delta x_v \Delta y_v - \iint_{S_v} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_b) \Delta x_b \Delta y_b - \iint_{S_b} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+b}) \Delta x_{u+b} \Delta y_{u+b} - \iint_{S_{u+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b}) \Delta x_{v+b} \Delta y_{v+b} - \iint_{S_{v+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right).. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Also naturally existing canonical map $\theta: S/I \rightarrow \frac{S/I}{J}$ can be defined

by: $\theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b}) \Delta x_{a+b} \Delta y_{a+b} - \iint_{S_{a+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$
 $= \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{a+b+c}) \Delta x_{a+b+c} \Delta y_{a+b+c} - \iint_{S_{a+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$. Then, the

Onto-Homomorphism can be shown as follows:

The preservation of composition is:

$$\theta \left[\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a}) \Delta x_{u+a} \Delta y_{u+a} - \iint_{S_{u+a}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b}) \Delta x_{v+b} \Delta y_{v+b} - \iint_{S_{v+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \right].$$

(iii) The triangular inequality:

$$\theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{(u+v)+(a+b)}) \Delta x_{(u+v)+(a+b)} \Delta y_{(u+v)+(a+b)} - \iint_{S_{(u+v)+(a+b)}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right),$$

Which by definition of θ is: $\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c}) \Delta x_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c} \Delta y_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c} - \iint_{S_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$;

Which implies:

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c}) \Delta x_{v+b+c} \Delta y_{v+b+c} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \text{ which}$$

$$\text{is: } \theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a}) \Delta x_{u+a} \Delta y_{u+a} - \iint_{S_{u+a}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b}) \Delta x_{v+b} \Delta y_{v+b} - \iint_{S_{v+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right), \text{ as required.}$$

For Onto-ness: Let

$$\theta^{-1} \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \theta^{-1} \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c}) \Delta x_{v+b+c} \Delta y_{v+b+c} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right). \quad \text{Then,}$$

by definition:

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a}) \Delta x_{u+a} \Delta y_{u+a} - \iint_{S_{u+a}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b}) \Delta x_{v+b} \Delta y_{v+b} - \iint_{S_{v+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right). \quad \text{That is}$$

to say:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a}) \Delta x_{u+a} \Delta y_{u+a} - \iint_{S_{u+a}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_c) \Delta x_c \Delta y_c - \iint_{S_c} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ = & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b}) \Delta x_{v+b} \Delta y_{v+b} - \iint_{S_{v+b}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ & + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_c) \Delta x_c \Delta y_c - \iint_{S_c} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c}) \Delta x_{v+b+c} \Delta y_{v+b+c} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right).$$

(iv) Defining the naturally existing canonical map $\theta: \frac{S/I}{J} \rightarrow S/I/J/K$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{by: } & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \\ = & \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c+d}) \Delta x_{u+a+c+d} \Delta y_{u+a+c+d} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the Onto-Homomorphism can be shown as follows:

The preservation of composition is: $\theta \left[\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c}) \Delta x_{v+b+c} \Delta y_{v+b+c} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \right]$ Then, by triangular inequality,

$\theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c}) \Delta x_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c} \Delta y_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c} - \iint_{S_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$.

Which by definition of θ is: $\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c+d}) \Delta x_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c+d} \Delta y_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c+d} - \iint_{S_{(u+v)+(a+b)+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c+d}) \Delta x_{u+a+c+d} \Delta y_{u+a+c+d} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c+d}) \Delta x_{v+b+c+d} \Delta y_{v+b+c+d} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \theta \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c}) \Delta x_{v+b+c} \Delta y_{v+b+c} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right), \text{ as required.}$$

To show Onto-ness.:

$$\text{Let } \theta^{-1} \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c+d}) \Delta x_{u+a+c+d} \Delta y_{u+a+c+d} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \theta^{-1} \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c+d}) \Delta x_{v+b+c+d} \Delta y_{v+b+c+d} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right).$$

Then, by definition, $\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) =$

$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c}) \Delta x_{v+b+c} \Delta y_{v+b+c} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right)$. That

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c}) \Delta x_{u+a+c} \Delta y_{u+a+c} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_d) \Delta x_d \Delta y_d - \iint_{S_d} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c}) \Delta x_{v+b+c} \Delta y_{v+b+c} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) + \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_d) \Delta x_d \Delta y_d - \iint_{S_d} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right).$$

$$\text{That is, } \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{u+a+c+d}) \Delta x_{u+a+c+d} \Delta y_{u+a+c+d} - \iint_{S_{u+a+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) = \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{v+b+c+d}) \Delta x_{v+b+c+d} \Delta y_{v+b+c+d} - \iint_{S_{v+b+c+d}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right).$$

CONCLUSION

From the above results therefore, one can conclude that:

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_0) \Delta x_0 \Delta y_0 - \iint_{S_0} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \triangleq \left(\left| \sum f(\xi_1) \Delta x_1 \Delta y_1 - \iint_{S_1} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \triangleq \dots$$

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{abcd}) \Delta x_{abcd} \Delta y_{abcd} - \iint_{S_{abcd}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \ni$$

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{abc}) \Delta x_{abc} \Delta y_{abc} - \iint_{S_{abc}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \ni$$

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_{ab}) \Delta x_{ab} \Delta y_{ab} - \iint_{S_{ab}} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right) \ni$$

$$\left(\left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon \right);$$

Forms the composition series of solvability of $\left| \sum f(\xi_a) \Delta x_a \Delta y_a - \iint_{S_a} f(x) dx dy \right| < \varepsilon$ whenever $|\Delta x_a \Delta y_a| < \delta$; where $f(\xi_a) \in \Delta x_a \Delta y_a$ and ε and δ are infinitesimally small numbers.

REFERENCES

1. Newton, S. I. (1687). *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, London.
2. Suschkewitz, A.K. (1928). *Über Die Endlichen Gruppen Ohne Das Gesetz Der Eindeutigen Umkehrbarkeit*. *Math. Ann.*, **99**: 30-50.
- a. Cauchy, A. L. (1932). *Oeuvres Complètes*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, **13**(2): 171 – 282.
4. Cayley, A. (1854). On the Theory of Groups, as Depending on the Symbolic Equations $\theta^n = 1$. *Philosophical Magazine*, 4th series, **7**(42): 40 – 47.
5. Galois, E. (1846). *Theory of Equations*, Journal de Mathematique Pures et Appliquees, Bourg-La-Reine. Retrieved in 2020 at <http://www.mathshistory.st.andrews.ac.uk/Bioographies/Galois>.
6. Heinstein, I.N. (1964). *Topics in Algebra*. John Wiley and Sons, 2nd Edition.
7. Joseph, J. R. (2005). *A First Course in Abstract Algebra*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
8. Dummit, D.S. and Foote, R.M. (1990). *Abstract Algebra*. Prentice-Hall, New York, USA.